Peer Review Checklist – MRS NARRATIVES

Constructive comments about the manuscript's strengths and weaknesses—preferably numbered—are encouraged, as opposed to simply answering "Yes" or "No" to the questions provided. *If the article is poorly written due to grammatical errors, you do NOT need to correct the English. You may wish to bring it to the attention of the editor, however.*

- **Is it something related to an aspect of medical radiation sciences, including patients, families, health care leaders, policy makers, and medical radiation science professionals?**
- Where relevant, is it organized with a logical flow?
- Does the submission have literary or artistic merit?
- Is it written or produced in a way that will engage the reader?
- Is there evidence that the ethical implications of the submission have been considered?
- Where relevant, does it use appropriate and current medical imaging terminology?
- Is the main idea apparent?
- Does the title accurately and concisely reflect the theme or purpose of the piece?
- Does the piece provide context (if applicable)?
- Are there any "lessons learned" that should be highlighted? If so, do they mention implications of results for practice or future research?
- Is there risk of misinterpretation?
- Would this piece benefit from further explanation?